



Sponsor. Marcela Munzarova Nano Medical s.r.o. 5.kvetna 1640/65 Prague, 14000 CZECH REPUBLIC

## Viral Filtration Efficiency (VFE) Final Report

Test Article:

AntiMicrobe Web R

Study Number: Study Received Date:

1272273-S01 28 Feb 2020

Testing Facility:

Nelson Laboratories, LLC

6280 S. Redwood Rd.

Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.

Test Procedure(s):

Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0007 Rev 16

Deviation(s):

Summary: The VFE test is performed to determine the filtration efficiency of test articles by comparing the viral control counts upstream of the test article to the counts downstream. A suspension of bacteriophage ФX174 was aerosolized using a nebulizer and delivered to the test article at a constant flow rate and fixed air pressure. The challenge delivery was maintained at 1.1 - 3.3 x 103 plaque forming units (PFU) with a mean particle size (MPS) of 3.0 µm ± 0.3 µm. The aerosol droplets were drawn through a six-stage, viable particle, Andersen sampler for collection. The VFE test procedure was adapted from ASTM F2101.

All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Side: Either

Test Area: ~40 cm2

VFE Flow Rate:

28.3 Liters per minute (L/min)

Conditioning Parameters: 85 ± 5% relative humidity (RH) and 21 ± 5°C for a minimum of 4 hours

Positive Control Average: 1.3 x 103 PFU Negative Monitor Count:

<1 PFU

MPS: 3.1 μm













James W. Luskin

Study Completion Date

801-290-7500

nelsonlabs.com

sales@nelsonlabs.com

Page 1 of 2



#### Results:

| (courts.                       |                    |  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Test Article Number            | Percent VFE (%)    |  |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1                  | >99,9*             |  |
| 2                              | >99.9°             |  |
| 3                              | >99.9 <sup>a</sup> |  |
| WANT 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | >99.9*             |  |
| 5                              | >99.9ª             |  |

There were no detected plaques on any of the Andersen sampler plates for this test article.

The filtration efficiency percentages were calculated using the following equation:

$$\% VFE = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

C = Positive control average

T = Plate count total recovered downstream of the test article Note: The plate count total is available upon request

































Sponsor: Marcela Munzarova Nano Medical s.r.o. 5.kvetna 1640/65 Praque, 14000 CZECH REPUBLIC

# Bacterial Filtration Efficiency (BFE) and Differential Pressure (Delta P) Final Report

Test Article: AntiMicrobe Web R
Study Number: 1272274-S01
Study Received Date: 28 Feb 2020

Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC

6280 S. Redwood Rd.

Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.

Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0004 Rev 18

Deviation(s): None

Summary: The BFE test is performed to determine the filtration efficiency of test articles by comparing the bacterial control counts upstream of the test article to the bacterial counts downstream. A suspension of *Staphylococcus aureus* was aerosolized using a nebulizer and delivered to the test article at a constant flow rate and fixed air pressure. The challenge delivery was maintained at 1.7 - 3.0 x 10<sup>3</sup> colony forming units (CFU) with a mean particle size (MPS) of 3.0 ± 0.3 µm. The aerosols were drawn through a six-stage, viable particle, Andersen sampler for collection. This test method complies with ASTM F2101-19 and EN 14683:2019, Annex B.

The Delta P test is performed to determine the breathability of test articles by measuring the differential air pressure on either side of the test article using a manometer, at a constant flow rate. The Delta P test complies with EN 14683:2019, Annex C and ASTM F2100-19.

All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Side: Either

BFE Test Area: ~40 cm<sup>2</sup>

BFE Flow Rate: 28.3 Liters per minute (L/min)

Delta P Flow Rate: 8 L/min

Conditioning Parameters: 85 ± 5% relative humidity (RH) and 21 ± 5°C for a minimum of 4 hours

Positive Control Average: 2.1 x 103 CFU

Negative Monitor Count: <1 CFU

MPS: 3.2 µm

Study Director

1272274-S01

sales@nelsonlabs.com

dh

Study Completion Date

FRT0004-0001 Rev 22 Page 1 of 2

James W. Luskin



#### esults:

| Test Article Number | Percent BFE (%)<br>>99.9 |  |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 1                   |                          |  |
| 2                   | >99.9                    |  |
| 3                   | >99.9                    |  |
| WANT AND DIRAY      | 99.9                     |  |
| 5                   | >99.9                    |  |

| Delta P (mm H <sub>2</sub> O/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | Delta P (Pa/cm²)         |
|--|--------------------------|
| 5.4  | 53.4                     |
| 6.1  | 59.8                     |
| 5.9  | 58.0                     |
| 5.9  | 57.8                     |
| 5.8  | 57.3                     |
|  | 5.4<br>6.1<br>5.9<br>5.9 |

he filtration efficiency percentages were calculated using the following equation:

$$\% BFE = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

C = Positive control average

T = Plate count total recovered downstream of the test article Note: The plate count total is available upon request







Sponsor: Marcela Munzarova Nano Medical s.r.o. 5.kvetna 1640/65 Praque, 14000 CZECH REPUBLIC

## Latex Particle Challenge Final Report

Test Article: AntiMicrobe Web R Study Number: 1272275-S01 Study Received Date: 28 Feb 2020

Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC 6280 S. Redwood Rd.

Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.

Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0005 Rev 07 Test Procedure(s):

Deviation(s): None

Summary: This procedure was performed to evaluate the non-viable particle filtration efficiency (PFE) of the test article. Monodispersed polystyrene latex spheres (PSL) were nebulized (atomized), dried, and passed through the test article. The particles that passed through the test article were enumerated using a laser particle counter.

Three one-minute counts were performed, with the test article in the system, and the results averaged. Three one-minute control counts were performed, without a test article in the system, before and after each test article and the counts were averaged. Control counts were performed to determine the average number of particles delivered to the test article. The filtration efficiency was calculated using the average number of particles penetrating the test article compared to the average of the control values.

The procedure employed the basic particle filtration method described in ASTM F2299, with some exceptions; notably the procedure incorporated a non-neutralized challenge. In real use, particles carry a charge, thus this challenge represents a more natural state. The non-neutralized aerosol is also specified in the FDA guidance document on surgical face masks. All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

> Test Side: Either Side Area Tested: 91.5 cm2 0.1 µm Particle Size:

21°C, 24% relative humidity (RH) at 0854; 21°C, 23% RH at 1200 Laboratory Conditions:

99.79% Average Filtration Efficiency: Standard Deviation: 0.042

Curtis Gerow, B.S.

2020 Study Completion Date

nelsonlabs.com | sales@nelsonlabs.com

801-290-7500



### Results:

| Test Article Number | Average Test Article Counts | Average Control Counts | Filtration Efficiency (%) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1                   | 20                          | 12,610                 | 99.84                     |
| 2                   | 23                          | 13,655                 | 99.83                     |
| 3                   | 31                          | 12,495                 | 99.75                     |
| 4                   | 31                          | 13,291                 | 99.77                     |
| 5                   | 33                          | 14,085                 | 99.77                     |

MEDICAL NANO MEDICAL NANO ME

MEDICAL NANO MEDICAL NANO ME

NANO MEDICAL NANO MEDICAL

MEDICAL NANO MEDICAL NANO MEDI

NANO MEDICAL NANO MEDICAL

MEDICAL NAND MEDICAL NAND ME